



http://

[Home](#) | [Formative Cycle Report](#) | [Summative Cycle Report](#) | [Print Evaluation Documents](#)

REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency



Does Role for a district Office Personnel evaluator need to be set here?

User ID

Password

Login Role:

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Evaluator | ▼ |
| Analytic User | |

?

User can be Evaluator
Could also be Analytic User.
Functions will be limited to generate
the output file/s



http://

[Home](#) | [Formative Cycle Report](#) | [Summative Cycle Report](#) | [Print Evaluation Documents](#)

REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency

Search will return list with ID,s and evaluation start dates. User will select evaluation to be resumed if any.

| Name | ID | Evaluation Start | Evaluation Type | Status | Select |
|----------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| Smith | 10001 | 09/01/10 | Observation | Saved | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Smithson | 2002 | 09/15/10 | Documentation | Submitted | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | | | |

Evaluator Demographic Entry

To open a previous evaluation enter the last name or ID of the evaluated person

Search for previous evaluation:

Evaluator ID

Evaluator First Name

Evaluator Middle Initial

Evaluator Last Name

Evaluator School Entity ID

Evaluator District Entity ID

To print field documents select type below:

This evaluation is for a:

- ☒ Leader
☐ Teacher

To enter evaluation scores select type below:

This evaluation is for a:

- ☐ Leader
☒ Teacher

Open Evaluation takes user directly to entry form.

Can this be set automatically ?



http://



REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency

[Home](#)[Formative Cycle Report](#)[Summative Cycle Report](#)[Print Evaluation Documents](#)

Analytic User Document Requests

Welcom UserName:

Which files do you need:

- ☐ Teacher Demographics
- ☒ Teacher First Round Summative Results
- ☐ Teacher Final Summative Results
- ☐ Leader Demographics
- ☐ Leader First Round Summative Results
- ☐ Leader Final Summative Results

File OutputType:

- ☒ Comma Seperated Values (.csv)
- ☐ Tab-delimited (.txt)
- ☐ Excel (.xls)
- ☐ XML

File types are mutually
exclusive

What happens next?

[Home](#)[Formative Cycle Report](#)[Summative Cycle Report](#)[Print Evaluation Documents](#)

REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency



Select Evaluation Documents Needed

Leader Documents

Observation Settings

- ☐ Leadership Team Meeting
- ☒ Business Meeting
- ☐ Development Learning Meeting
- ☒ Grade Level/Department Meeting
- ☐ Teacher Observation and Scoring
- ☐ Teacher Pre- and Post-Conference
- ☐ Walk-thru Visit of Classroom

Documentation

- ☐ Continuous Improvement Plan and Budgets
- ☒ Educator Goal Plans and School PD Plans
- ☐ Student Achievement Data
- ☐ Management Systems and Artifacts
- ☐ Teacher Evaluation Data
- ☐ Parent Survey
- ☐ Staff Survey
- ☐ Student Survey

[Print Documents](#)

Evaluator can select field document type needed. Only the relevant elements for each rubric will be printed for field use.

Teacher Documents

- What setting:
- ☐ Pre-Conference
 - ☒ Observation
 - ☐ Post-Conference

[Print Field Documents](#)

Print documents will take user to first field document available to be printed.



Evaluation Field Document : Observation

Teacher _____

Observation Date _____

Subject: _____

Grade: _____

You have five days from the observation date to complete post.

Assignment _____

[Print Document](#)

Content Rubric

[Enter Evaluation Scores](#)

The Content rubric is designed to support teacher understanding and implementation of effective learning experiences that make content accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content. These experiences are facilitated through teacher understanding of how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage

| Element | 5 | 4 | 3 Proficient | 2 | 1 | 0 | Comments (optional) |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|------------------------|
| Conceptual Understanding | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. Guides students to create their own representations and explanations of concepts. Engages students in using simultaneous multisensory representations. (4a) | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. Incorporates multiple effective representations and explanations of concepts, throughout the lesson. (4a) | Incorporates effective representations and explanations of concepts that capture key ideas and details essential to building conceptual understanding in the discipline. (4a) | Incorporates representations and explanations of concepts that capture key ideas and details essential to building understanding in the discipline. (4a) | Incorporates representations and/or explanations of concepts that capture some key ideas to build understanding in the discipline. (4a) | | |
| Task Analysis | Anticipates student misconceptions related to background knowledge, vocabulary, and/or processes and incorporates sub-objective(s) that break the task into smaller steps/chunks. (4e, 4f, 7c) | Lesson objective(s), sub-objective(s), & materials are aligned to content standards, logically organized, sequenced, taught one at a time, and reflect prior learning. (4d, 4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) | Lesson objective(s), sub-objective(s), & materials are aligned to content standards, logically organized, sequenced, and taught/facilitated one at a time. (4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) | Lesson objective(s) and materials are sequenced and aligned to content standards. (4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) | Lesson objective(s) & materials are sequenced. (4f, 7b, 7c) | | |
| Connections to Content | Uses purposeful and strategic questioning, facilitation, and critical thinking strategies that result in students application of interdisciplinary knowledge through the lens of local and global issues. (5b, 5d, 5g) | Uses purposeful and strategic questioning & facilitation strategies that result in students applying disciplinary knowledge to real world problems. (5b) | Uses purposeful questioning strategies and activities to activate students' prior knowledge and guide them to understand, question, reflect and analyze ideas from diverse perspectives. (2d, 4b, 4d, 8f) | Uses questioning strategies and/or activities in order to develop students' understanding; guides students to question and/or reflect on ideas about the content. (4b, 4d, 8f) | Uses questioning strategies and/or activities to develop students' understanding of the content. (4b) | | |

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output

Formative Assessment

The Formative Assessment rubric is designed to support teacher understanding and implementation of real-time (during & end-of-lesson) assessment as a strategy to monitor learner progress and to guide ongoing planning and instruction. Effective teachers use real-time assessments that are at the correct level of difficulty, aligned to standards-based objectives, and engage learners in demonstrating knowledge and skills. In addition, the effective teacher articulates & documents progress that learners have made in relation to the observed lesson objective.

| Element | 5 | 4 | 3 Proficient | 2 | 1 | 0 | Comments (optional) |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|------------------------|
| Real-Time Assessment | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. Assesses at the objective and sub-objective level to measure individual student progress. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. Assesses at the objective and sub-objective level to measure student progress at the sub-group level. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Utilizes appropriate real-time assessment(s), aligned to the lesson objective (that elicit an overt response from nearly all students during the lesson and at the end of the lesson), and reviews elicited behavior in order to measure student progress to adjust instruction. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Utilizes appropriate real-time assessment(s), aligned to the lesson objective, that elicit an overt response from nearly all students. (6a, 6b) | Utilizes real-time assessment(s) to elicit an overt response from students. (6a, 6b) | | |

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output

This is a PDF Document. This is what the document should look like after printing.

More than one rubric can be on a page. However, the last rubric must fit completely on the page, or begin on a new page.



REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency

Need Help ?



Save

Clear All

Submit

Print Report

Logout

Pre-Conference Entry Form

Teacher Name:

Teacher Name

The post conference must be completed within five business days of the observation.

Subject:

Some Subject

Pre-Conference

Date:

12/12/12

Observation Date:

Post-Conference

Date:

Grade:

Some Grade

Content

Formative Assessment

Instructional Strategies

Learning Engagement

Learning Community

Professional Responsibilities

The Content rubric is designed to support teacher understanding and implementation of effective learning experiences that make content accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content. These experiences are facilitated through teacher understanding of how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues

| Element | 5 | 4 | 3 Proficient | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|---------------|--|--|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. | | | | |
| Task Analysis | Descriptors demonstrates knowledge, vocabulary, and/or processes and incorporates sub-objective(s) that break the task into smaller steps/chunks (4a, 4f, 7c) | aligned to content standards, logically organized, sequenced, taught one at a time, and reflect prior learning. (4d, 4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) | sequenced, logically organized, sequenced, and taught/facilitated one at a time. (4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) | sequenced and aligned to content standards. (4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) | sequenced. (4f, 7b, 7c) | |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output



REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency

[Home](#)[Formative Cycle Report](#)[Summative Cycle Report](#)[Print Evaluation Documents](#)

Submit will be disabled until all required values for all rubrics are submitted.
(6.1.4.5,6)

Need Help?
User can logout only after save.
Otherwise session is abandoned



Save

Clear All

Submit

Print Report

Logout

Observation Entry Form

Scores will be populated by selecting the button value in the descriptors.

Teacher Name:

Teacher Name

The post conference must be completed within five business days of the observation.

Some Subject

Pre-Conference

Date:

12/12/12

Observation Date:

12/12/12

Post-Conference

Date:

Grade:

Some Grade

If Clear All is selected the decision will be validated:
Are you sure?
Only the elements on this tab would be cleared.

Content

Formative Assessment

Instructional Strategies

Learning Engagement

Learning Community

Professional Responsibilities

The Content rubric is designed to support teacher understanding and implementation of effective learning experiences that make content accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content. These experiences are facilitated through teacher understanding of how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues

Evaluator can view or print reports only when all rubrics are completed and the results are

| Element Score | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|----------|
| | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. | Proficient | | | | |
| | Descriptors | | | | | | |
| Conceptual Understanding | Guides students to create their own representations and explanations of concepts. Engages students in using simultaneous multisensory representations. (4a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Incorporates multiple effective representations and explanations of concepts, throughout the lesson. (4a) <input type="checkbox"/> | Incorporates effective representations and explanations of concepts that capture key details essential to building conceptual understanding of discipline. (4a) <input type="checkbox"/> | Incorporates representations and | Incorporates representations | | |
| Task Analysis | Anticipates student misconceptions related to background knowledge, vocabulary, and/or processes and incorporates sub-objective(s) that break the task into smaller steps/chunks. (4e, 4f, 7c) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Lesson objective(s), sub-objective(s), & materials are aligned to content standards, logically organized, sequenced, taught one at a time, and reflect prior learning. (4d, 4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) <input type="checkbox"/> | Lesson objective(s), sub-objective(s), & materials are aligned to standards, logically organized, sequenced, and taught/facilitated one at a time. (4f, 7a, 7b, 7c) <input type="checkbox"/> | | | | |
| Connections to Content | Uses purposeful and strategic questioning, facilitation, and critical thinking strategies that result in students application of interdisciplinary knowledge through the lens of local and global issues. (5b, 5d, 5g) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Uses purposeful and strategic questioning & facilitation strategies that result in students applying disciplinary knowledge to real world problems. (5b) <input type="checkbox"/> | Uses purposeful questioning strategies and activities to students' prior knowledge to help them to understand, question, and analyze ideas from diverse perspectives. (2d, 4b, 4d, 8f) <input type="checkbox"/> | guides students to question and/or reflect on ideas about the content. (4b, 4d, 7f) <input type="checkbox"/> | content. (4b) <input type="checkbox"/> | | |

Content Rubric:: Connections of Content :: - 3 Points

2(d) The teacher brings multiple perspectives to the discussion of content, including attention to learners' personal, family, and community experiences and cultural norms.

4(b) The teacher engages students in learning experiences in the discipline(s) that encourage learners to understand, question, and analyze ideas from diverse perspectives so that they master the content.

4(d) The teacher stimulates learner reflection on prior content knowledge, links new concepts to familiar concepts, and makes connections to learners' experiences.

8(f) The teacher engages all learners in developing higher order questioning skills and metacognitive processes.

Should this be a tooltip or a browser window?

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output

Scores should be autosaved

These will not print on documents, but it will be stored and can be viewed upon review



REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency

Need Help

If Clear All is
selected the
decision will be
validated:
Are you sure?



Save

Clear All

Submit

Print Report

Logout

Observation Entry FormTeacher Name:

The post conference must be completed within five business days of the observation.

Subject: Observation Date: Post-Conference
Date: Grade:
[Content](#) | [Formative Assessment](#) | [Instructional Strategies](#) | [Learning Engagement](#) | [Learning Community](#) | [Professional Responsibilities](#)

The Formative Assessment rubric is designed to support teacher understanding and implementation of real-time (during & end-of-lesson) assessment as a strategy to monitor learner progress and to guide ongoing planning and instruction. Effective teachers use real-time assessments that are at the correct level of difficulty, aligned to standards-based objectives, and engage learners in demonstrating knowledge and skills. In addition, the effective teacher articulates & documents progress that learners have made in relation to the observed lesson objective.

| Element Score | 5 | 4 | 3 Proficient | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. | | | | |
| | Descriptors | | | | | |
| Real-Time <input type="text"/> | Assesses at the objective and sub-objective level to measure individual student progress. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Assesses at the objective and sub-objective level to measure student progress at the sub-group level. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Utilizes appropriate real-time assessment(s), aligned to the lesson objective (that elicit an overt response from nearly all students during the lesson and at the end of the lesson), and reviews elicited behavior in order to measure student progress to adjust instruction. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Utilizes appropriate real-time assessment(s), aligned to the lesson objective, that elicit an overt response from nearly all students. (5a, 6b) | Utilizes real-time assessment(s) to elicit an overt response from students. (6a, 6b) | |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments
(optional)

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output



http://



[Home](#) | [Formative Cycle Report](#) | [Summative Cycle Report](#) | [Print Evaluation Documents](#)



REIL is an initiative of

MCESA

Maricopa County Education Service Agency



Need Help

Observation Entry Form

Save

Clear All

Submit

Print Report

Logout

Teacher Name:

Subject:

Grade:

Assign:

The post conference must be completed within five business days of the observation.

12/12/12

Observation Date:

12/13/12

Post-Conference

Date:

[Content](#) | [Formative Assessment](#) | [Instructional Strategies](#) | [Learning Engagement](#) | [Learning Community](#) | [Professional Responsibilities](#)

The Instructional Strategies rubric is focused on specific instructional strategies that teachers utilize to ensure learners develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways. The teacher varies his/her role in the instructional process (e.g., instructor, facilitator, coach, audience) in relation to the content and purposes of instruction and the needs of learners.

| Element | 5 | 4 | 3 Proficient | 2 | 1 | 0 | Comments (optional) |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. | | | | | |
| Modeling | Explicitly models an exemplary product/ performance (free of distractions) by labeling steps or concepts, with precise academic vocabulary and clear articulation of meta-cognition, for each sub-objective. (8d, 8e, 8f) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Explicitly models an exemplary product/ performance (free of distractions) by labeling steps or concepts, with precise academic vocabulary and clear articulation of meta-cognition, for more than one sub-objective. (8d, 8e, 8f) <input type="checkbox"/> | Explicitly models an exemplary product/ performance by labeling steps or concepts, with articulation of meta-cognition. (8d, 8e, 8f) <input type="checkbox"/> | Models an exemplary product/ performance by labeling steps/ concepts, or expression of meta-cognition. (8d, 8e, 8f) <input type="checkbox"/> | Shows an example of a product/ performance. (8d, 8e, 8f) <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Or | | | | | | | |
| Constructing knowledge | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Presents problem/situation and allows open-ended processing of thinking and prior knowledge to promote conceptual development.Scaffolds questions with increasing complexity or depth of content for each learner to gain thorough understanding and to clarify misunderstandings.Solidifies learning after constructed experience with clear labels, and students extend thinking to generalizations/conjectures and explain their thinking/learning with the labels. (6f, 8d, 8e, 8i) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Presents problem/situation and allows open-ended processing of thinking to promote conceptual development.Scaffolds questions with increasing complexity or depth of content to ensure student understanding and to clarify misunderstandings.Solidifies learning after constructed experience with clear labels, and students extend thinking to generalizations/conjectures and explain their thinking/learning. (8d, 8e, 8i) <input type="checkbox"/> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Presents problem/situation and allows open-ended processing of thinking or experimentation to promote conceptual development.Scaffolds questions to class to guide understanding and clarify misunderstanding.Solidifies learning after constructed experience with clear academic vocabulary or labels. (8d, 8e, 8i) <input type="checkbox"/> | Presents problem/situation and allows open-ended processing of thinking or experimentation to promote conceptual development; asks questions to promote learning. (8d, 8e, 8i) <input type="checkbox"/> | Presents problem/situation with discussion. (8d, 8e, 8i) <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Practice/ Aligned Activity | Engages students in examining their own thinking and/or learning as well as the performance of others; students effectively provide support for one another as a member of a highly functioning learning community. (2b, 3b, 3c, 6d, 6f, 8d) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Provides sufficient, aligned practice or conceptual development activity for each sub-objective or constructed inquiry learning experience.Effectively guides and scaffolds students who need assistance and appropriately fades away or renews support as needed to ensure all students are challenged to move toward independence. (2b, 6d, 8d) <input type="checkbox"/> | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Provides sufficient, aligned practice or conceptual development activity to support successful learning of the lesson objective before independent practiceActively guides and scaffolds individual students as they practice the objective and move toward independence (e.g., referencing posted steps, anchor charts, graphic organizers or templates, coaching, questioning). (2b, 6d, 8d) <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> | Provides opportunity for students to practice the lesson objective before independent practice is assigned; or provides opportunity during the conceptual development activity for students to work toward mastery of the lesson objective. (6d, 8d) <input type="checkbox"/> | Assigns independent practice or conceptual development activity. (6d, 8d) <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Feedback (during the lesson) | Students accurately apply specific feedback to advance their learning. (6d) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Provides effective, corrective, academic feedback, with precise labels, that is specific to the learner and aligned to sub-objective content. (6d) <input type="checkbox"/> | Provides effective, corrective, academic feedback in order to promote learning and retention that: (1) is aligned to the objective; (2) references a specific level of skill or knowledge, and (3) is timely. (6d) <input type="checkbox"/> | Provides corrective academic feedback that references a specific level of skill or knowledge. (6d) <input type="checkbox"/> | Provides academic feedback during the lesson. (6d) <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitor and Adjust | Utilizes appropriate overt responses, from all students at each sub-objective to either move forward or adjust one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Adjusts instructional strategy (e.g., pacing, guided practice, questioning, knowledge of results, grouping, etc.).Changes the cognition level. (2b, 8a, 8b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Utilizes appropriate overt responses, from all students for most sub-objectives to either move forward or adjust one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Adjusts instructional strategy (e.g., pacing, guided practice, questioning, knowledge of results, grouping, etc.).Changes the cognition level. (2b, 8a, 8b) <input type="checkbox"/> | Utilizes appropriate (provides relevant student performance information) overt responses, from most students (75% or more) at essential sub-objective levels to either move forward with/or adjust instruction. (8a, 8b) <input type="checkbox"/> | Utilizes overt responses from at least half (50%) of the students to either move forward with/or adjust instruction. (8a, 8b) <input type="checkbox"/> | Utilizes overt responses from less than half of the students to either move forward with/or adjust instruction. (8a, 8b) <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output



Evaluation Field Document Post-Conference

Teacher Name:

Subject:

Grade:

Observation Date and Time: _____

You have five datys from the observation date to complete post.

This is a sample of how a document should look when printed. Document should print in landscape orientation.

Content Rubric

The Content rubric is designed to support teacher understanding and implementation of effective learning experiences that make content accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content. These experiences are facilitated through teacher understanding of how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues

| Element | 5 | 4 | 3 Proficient | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. | | | | |
| | Descriptors | | | | | |
| Content Accessibility | Guides students to create their | Incorporates multiple effective | Incorporates effective | Incorporates | Incorporates | |
| | Facilitates content accessibility by accommodating or modifying: the problems, complexity of text, and/or vocabulary to the correct level of difficulty for all students within the lesson, as evidenced by adapted materials, modifications and tracking of relevant literacy performance data for each student. (2a, 2e, 5h) | Facilitates content accessibility by accommodating or modifying: the problems, complexity of text, and/or vocabulary to the correct level of difficulty within the lesson for all students at the sub-group level, as evidenced by adapted materials, student work, and modifications based on assessment data. (2a, 2e, 5h) | Facilitates content accessibility by accommodating or modifying problems, complexity of text, and/or vocabulary to the correct level of difficulty within the lesson for all students at the sub-group level, as evidenced in planning and assessment data. (2a, 2e, 5h) | Facilitates content accessibility by accommodating or modifying: the problems, complexity of text, and/or vocabulary to the correct level of difficulty for within the lesson for less than half (6%-49%) of the students, as evidenced in planning and assessment data. (2a, 2e, 5h) | Facilitates content accessibility by accommodating or modifying: the problems, complexity of text, and/or vocabulary to the correct level of difficulty within the lesson for some students (1%-5%) as evidenced in planning data. (2a, 2e, 5h) | |

Comments _____

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output _____

Formative Assessment

The Formative Assessment rubric is designed to support teacher understanding and implementation of real-time (during & end-of-lesson) assessment as a strategy to monitor learner progress and to guide ongoing planning and instruction. Effective teachers use real-time assessments that are at the correct level of difficulty, aligned to standards-based objectives, and engage learners in demonstrating knowledge and skills. In addition, the effective teacher articulates & documents progress that learners have made in relation to the

| Element | 5 | 4 | 3 Proficient | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Meets criteria at levels 3, 4, and 5. | Meets criteria at levels 3 and 4. | | | | |
| | Descriptors | | | | | |
| Student Progress | Assesses at the objective and sub-objective level to measure individual student progress. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Assesses at the objective and sub-objective level to measure student progress at the sub-group level. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Utilizes appropriate real-time assessment(s), aligned to the lesson objective (that elicit an overt response from nearly all students during the lesson and at the end of the lesson), and reviews elicited behavior in order to measure student progress to adjust instruction. (1a, 6a, 6b, 7d) | Utilizes appropriate real-time assessment(s), aligned to the lesson objective, that elicit an overt response from nearly all students. (6a, 6b) | Utilizes real-time assessment(s) to elicit an overt response from students. (6a, 6b) | |
| Correct Level of Difficulty | Produces evidence that real-time assessment(s) are at the correct level of difficulty at the individual student level. (2c, 6a) | Produces evidence that real-time assessment(s) are at the correct level of difficulty at the sub-group level. (2c, 6a) | Produces evidence that real-time assessments are at the correct level of difficulty for more than half of the students, as evidenced by pre/prior assessment(s) and student work. (2c, 6a) | Articulates that real-time assessments are at the correct level of difficulty for more than half of the students. (2c, 6a) | Real-time assessment(s) are at the correct level of difficulty for less than half of the students. (2c, 6a) | |

Comments _____

Evaluator Notes: These will not print on the output _____



Formative Cycle Report

Teacher Name: Subject: Grade:

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|----------|
| Grade: | | Some Grade | | | Rubric | | | | |
| Rubric | Date of Session: | Cycle 1 | | | Element Totals | | Sum | Points Possible | |
| | | 08/08/12 | 08/09/12 | 08/10/12 | | | | | |
| Content | Element | Pre | Obs | Post | Sum | Points Possible | 15 | 25 | Comments |
| | Conceptual Understanding | | 4 | | 4 | 5 | | | |
| | Task Analysis | 2 | 3 | | 5 | 10 | | | |
| | Connections to Content | | 3 | | 3 | 5 | | | |
| | Content Accessibility | | | 3 | 3 | 5 | | | |
| Formative Assessment | Real Time Assessment | 2 | 3 | | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | Comments |
| | Student Progress | | | 2 | 2 | 5 | | | |
| | Correct Level of Difficulty | | | 3 | 3 | 5 | | | |
| Instructional Strategies | Modeling or Constructing Knowledge | 3 | 3 | | 6 | 10 | 15 | 30 | Comments |
| | Practice/Aligned Activity | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | | | |
| | Feedback (during the lesson) | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | | | |
| | Monitor and Adjust | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | | | |
| | Analysis of Instruction | | | 3 | 3 | 5 | | | |
| Learner Engagement | Student-to-Student Interaction | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | 11 | 25 | Comments |
| | TeachertoStudent Interaction | | 1 | | 1 | 5 | | | |
| | Authentic Engagement/Quality of Work | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | | | |
| | Critical Thinking | 3 | 3 | | 6 | 10 | | | |
| Learning Community | Routines and Procedures | | 3 | | 3 | 5 | 9 | 20 | Comments |
| | Responsibility for Learning | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | | | |
| | Monitoring and Responding to Student Behavior | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | | | |
| | Relationships | | 2 | | 2 | 5 | | | |
| Professional Responsibilities | Observation Total: | | | | | | 60 | 115 | Comments |
| | Engagement in Meaningful and Appropriate... | | | | 0 | 5 | | 20 | |
| | Collaboration with Colleagues | | | | 0 | 5 | | | |
| | Engagement with Families | | | | 0 | 5 | | | |
| | Communication with Families | | | | 0 | 5 | | | |
| | Leadership | | | | 0 | 5 | | | |

Reinforcement Goal: Refinement Goal: Educator Goal: Educator Goal Met? ☐Evaluator Name: Teacher Name: Date:

Signature: _____

Signature: _____

Signing this form verifies receipt of the evaluation but does not necessarily indicate agreement with the content. Within five days of receipt of this document the teacher may attach comments if desired unless a post-conference is requested. If a post-conference is requested, the written response may be submitted within five (5) working days after the post-conference.

[Home](#)[Formative Cycle Report](#)[Summative Cycle Report](#)[Print Evaluation Documents](#)

Summative Cycle Report

Teacher Name: Subject: Grade: Observation
Cycle 1

| Rubric | Sum | Points Possible | Sum | Points Possible |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------------|
| Content | 15 | 25 | 16 | 25 |
| Formative Assessment | 10 | 15 | 13 | 15 |
| Instructional Strategies | 15 | 30 | 18 | 30 |
| Learner Engagement | 11 | 25 | 13 | 25 |
| Learning Community | 9 | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| | 60 | 115 | 70 | 115 |
| Professional Responsibilities | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 |

Summative Total: Points Possible: Reinforcement Goal: Refinement Goal: Educator Goal: Educator Goal Met? ☐Evaluator Name: Teacher Name: Date:

Signature _____

Signature _____

Signing this form verifies receipt of the evaluation but does not necessarily indicate agreement with the content. Within five days of receipt of this document the teacher may attach comments if desired unless a post-conference is requested. If a post-conference is requested, the written response may be submitted within five (5) working days after the post-conference.